

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
3 January 2002 (03.01.2002)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 02/00427 A1**

(51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: **B32B 7/12**,  
27/04, 27/12, 5/08, 5/18, 5/24, 5/02, 17/02, D04H 1/08

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US01/19494

(22) International Filing Date: 18 June 2001 (18.06.2001)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:  
09/602,405 23 June 2000 (23.06.2000) US

(81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.

(84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

(71) Applicant: **MILLIKEN & COMPANY** [US/US]; Legal Department (M-495), 920 Milliken Road, Spartanburg, SC 29303 (US).

Published:  
— with international search report

*For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*

(72) Inventors: **VOGT, Kirkland, W.**; 19 Staffordshire Way, Simpsonville, SC 29681 (US). **ELEAZER, Howell, B.**; 226 Donegal Drive, Moore, SC 29369 (US).

(74) Agent: **WILSON, Charlotte, C.**; Milliken & Company, Legal Department (M-495), P.O. Box 1926, Spartanburg, SC 29304 (US).

WO 02/00427 A1

(54) Title: A WOVEN FABRIC-ELASTOMER COMPOSITE PREFERABLE FOR TRANSFER OR FILM COATING

(57) Abstract: The present invention relates to a process for producing a woven textile-elastomer composite that, when transfer or film-coated, is suitable for use as an artificial leather substrate. The inventive procedure involves (a) producing an elastomer composition of at least four ingredients (an anionically-stabilized waterborne polymer dispersion, an acid-generating chemical, a cloud-point surfactant, and a foam-stabilizing surfactant); (b) incorporating sufficient gas into the liquid mixture to generate a spreadable foam; (c) applying the foam onto a porous woven textile substrate; (d) heating said foamed fabric until the elastomer coagulates over the fabric substrate; and (e) drying the resultant composite without destroying the coagulated structure. The resultant composite obtains a pliability, compressibility, and drape that is similar to that of leather and a surface that is suitable for transfer or film-coating to produce artificial leather. The composite may be utilized as upholstery fabric in furniture or in automobiles, apparel, and the like. The particular composites produced are also contemplated within this invention.

**A WOVEN FABRIC-ELASTOMER COMPOSITE  
PREFERABLE FOR TRANSFER OR FILM COATING**

**Technical Field**

- 5 The present invention relates to a woven textile that is foamed with an elastomer latex composition to create a textile-elastomer composite, the composite being particularly well-suited for transfer or film-coating to create an artificial leather substrate. The resultant textile-elastomer composite, herein disclosed, exhibits a suppleness that is similar to that of leather and a surface that is suitable for transfer or film-coating to
- 10 produce an artificial leather. In particular, the woven textile and elastomer composite exhibits improved compressibility, pliability, and drape, characteristics that are commonly associated with high quality leather.

**Discussion of the Prior Art**

- 15 Polymer latexes (e.g., polyurethane and acrylate) have been utilized in a variety of ways, most notably as coatings or finishes on fabric surfaces. Such latexes may provide, for example, a barrier to potentially adverse environmental conditions. Furthermore, leather substitutes have also been produced through the use of waterborne polymer latexes. Such substitutes provide an alternative to more expensive,
- 20 genuine leather articles. Such artificial leather substrates must exhibit the suppleness and appearance that are characteristic of genuine leather, and must withstand heavy and repeated use within automobile and furniture upholstery, for example.

- Previous polyurethane-based leather substitute products include composites produced
- 25 through the reaction of a polyurethane latex and an acid-generating chemical (specifically, hydrofluorosilicic salts). Such a composition is disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 4,332,710, to McCartney, entirely incorporated herein by reference. McCartney teaches heat-activated coagulation of a polyurethane latex in conjunction with only an acid-generating chemical, such as salts of hydrofluorosilicic acid. Such a composition and
- 30 method present some difficulties, primarily in the use of an acid-generating chemical alone to provide ionic coagulation. This two-component system often results in a non-uniform distribution in the textile substrate and can form stringy structures, which are unattractive as suede leather substitutes. Of particular concern are the environmental and safety issues associated with the use of hydrofluorosilicic acid salts, which are

highly discouraged within the industry but which are patentee's preferred acid-generating chemicals.

Other prior teachings involving polymer latex heat-activated coagulation include U.S. Patent No. 4,886,702 to Spek et al. The '702 patent discloses a method utilizing a composition comprising a waterborne polymer latex (including polyurethane and acrylate), a cloud-point surfactant coagulant, and a blowing agent, which evolves gas during heating. However, such a composition does not produce preferable leather-like textile products due to the stiff hand that results from the effect of the blowing agent. Second, the preferred blowing agent is freon, which is being phased out of production due to its deleterious environmental impact. Third, the coagulation process requires the addition of acid and/or salt compounds, which have the potential to coagulate the latex mixture prior to contact with a textile substrate, thus resulting in a non-uniform dispersion on the substrate surface. Last, the shelf-life of patentees' composition is, at a maximum, only eight hours in duration, thereby placing certain limitations on manufacturing flexibility.

Furthermore, U.S. Patent No. 4,171,391, to Parker, teaches polyurethane latex coagulation within an aqueous ionic or acid bath. Because the determining factors are the type and amount of ionic material (or acid) and the rate of diffusion of such a constituent from the bath to the substrate material, such a procedure is difficult to control. As a result, there is a lack of consistent uniform dispersion and coagulation from one textile substrate to another. Particularly with heavier fabric substrates, the necessary contact times may be as long as 30 minutes, translating into high costs for the manufacturer and, ultimately, the consumer.

These shortcomings indicate a need, then, within the industry, for improved leather-like textile-elastomer composites, which are relatively inexpensive to make, which have a more realistic appearance and improved aesthetic qualities when transfer or film-coated, and which have an overall better performance over the prior art.

### Summary

This invention concerns a leather-like textile-elastomer composite, and a method of producing this composite, the method comprising the sequential steps of:

- (a) providing a woven textile fabric;
- (b) foam-coating the woven textile with a liquid elastomer composition, the elastomer composition comprising:
- (i) a waterborne, anionically-stabilized polymer latex;
  - 5 (ii) an acid-generating chemical;
  - (iii) a cloud-point surfactant; and
  - (iv) a foam-stabilizing surfactant,
- wherein sufficient gas is incorporated into the liquid elastomer composition to produce a foamed elastomer composition;
- 10 (c) heating the coated woven textile to an initial temperature to effectuate a uniform dispersion and cause coagulation of said elastomer composition over the textile fabric; and
- (d) subsequently heating the coagulated fabric to a temperature higher than the temperature utilized in step (d) in order to dry, but not destroy, the coagulated
- 15 elastomer over the fabric.

The addition of step (e), in which the textile-elastomer composite is subsequently transfer or film-coated, results in a high quality artificial leather substrate that exhibits the compressibility, pliability, and drape that are characteristic of genuine leather articles.

20

It is thus an object of the invention to provide an improved, more aesthetically pleasing leather-like fabric-elastomer composite. The term fabric-elastomer composite refers to an article comprised of a woven textile fabric, which has been coated on at least one side with an elastomer composition. An object of the invention is to provide a composite

25 that has a more realistic, leather-like appearance and is more aesthetically pleasing when transfer or film-coated. Another object of the invention is to provide a method of producing a leather-like article which includes environmentally safe, nontoxic, low odor, noncombustible chemicals. Yet another object of this invention is to provide leather-like composites, which when transfer or film-coated, are suitable for all intended uses in

30 which a user requires or desires a faux-leather substrate.

Perhaps most importantly, the inventive method and composition impart a soft, fine-structured coagulum leather-like finish to fabrics which is comparable to, if not better than, leather-like finishes produced with organic solvent-borne systems (such as those

35 described in U.S. Patent No. 4,886,702, noted above). Thus, the inventive method and

composition provide the means to produce, in a very safe manner, a fabric-elastomer composite having a desirable suppleness and appearance, which, when transfer or film-coated, effectively simulates a genuine leather article.

- 5 The term fabric-elastomer composite refers to an article comprised of a two layers, wherein one layer is a woven textile fabric and the second layer is an elastomer coating that has been applied to at least one side of the woven textile. The second, elastomeric layer is partially incorporated into the woven textile, creating a seamless transition between the two layers. As noted above, the inventive foamed elastomer composition
- 10 comprises five materials: a waterborne polyurethane latex, an acid-generating chemical, a cloud-point surfactant, a foam-stabilizing surfactant, and sufficient gas to produce the foamed product.

An anionically-stabilized polymer latex is an emulsion or dispersion formed from a

15 polymer, an anionic surfactant, and water. Polyurethane, acrylic, or polyurethane-acrylic latex is preferable, but any waterborne anionically-stabilized polymer latex may be used. The preferred latexes are those having at least a 30% solids content. One preferred example of a polyurethane latex is EX-62-655 (40% solids), available from Stahl. A suitable polyurethane-acrylic latex is Paranol T-6330 (50% solids), available from

20 Parachem. Examples of suitable anionic surfactants for use in the polymer dispersion include, but are not limited to, poly-acrylic acid copolymers, sodium laurel sulfate, dodecyl benzene sulfonate, and the proprietary Rhodacal DS-10 (from Rhodia). In addition to the anionic surfactant and water, a nonionic surfactant may also be included in the polymer dispersion. Examples of a nonionic surfactant include polyvinyl alcohol

25 and ethoxylated surfactants, such as Pluronic F-68 (from BASF). Also well known in the art is the incorporation of carboxyl or sulfate groups into the backbone of the polymer in order to help stabilize the latex. The waterborne criterion is of utmost importance within this invention primarily to insure that potentially environmentally harmful organic solvents are not present within the elastomer composition.

30

The term acid-generating compound denotes a chemical which is not an acid at room temperature, but which produces an acid upon exposure to a heat source. Examples include, but are not limited to, ammonium acid salts like ammonium sulfate and ammonium phosphate and organic acid esters. One particularly suitable class of

35 compounds that both meet this description and that provide superior results with little or

no harmful environmental impact are organic acid esters. Some specific types of these compounds include ethylene glycol diacetate, ethylene glycol formate, diethylene glycol formate, triethyl citrate, monostearyl citrate, a proprietary organic acid ester available from High Point Chemical Corporation under the tradename Hipochem AG-45, and the  
5 like. The most preferred compound is ethylene glycol diacetate, available from Applied Textile Technologies under the tradename APTEX<sup>TM</sup> Donor H-plus.

The term cloud-point surfactant is intended to encompass any surface-active agent that becomes less water soluble upon exposure to higher temperatures. This type of  
10 surfactant easily binds with the polymer latex upon gelling and facilitates the uniform coagulation of the latex over the entire contacted textile substrate. Specific surfactants that meet such requirements include poly(ethylene) oxides, poly(ethylene/propylene) oxides, polythio ethers, polyacetals, polyvinylalkyl ethers, organo-polysiloxanes, polyalkoxylated amines, or any derivatives of these listed compounds, with the preferred  
15 being polyalkoxylated amines, available from Clariant under the tradename Cartafix U<sup>TM</sup>.

The term foam-stabilizing surfactant includes any surface-active agent that improves the ability of the inventive composition to entrain, and retain, air. Particular examples include, but are not limited to, alkyl benzene sulfates and sulfonates (Rexoprene series  
20 from Emkay Chemical) like sodium laurel sulfate (also sold under the name Stephanol AM from Stepan Corporation), sodium dioctyl sulfosuccinate, dodecyl benzene sulfonate, alkyl amine oxides (Unifroth series from Unichem Corp.), alkyl phosphates (Synfac series from Milliken Chemical), ammonium stearate (Henkel), water-soluble cellulose derivatives (Hercules Inc.), and Alkasurf DAP-9 (Rhodia).

25 The proportions required within the inventive elastomer composition are based upon the ratio of weights between the latex and each of the remaining components. For instance, ratios between the latex and each of the other components (namely, the acid-generating compound, the cloud-point surfactant, and the foam-stabilizing surfactant) should be in  
30 the range of 5:1 to 200:1, with preferred ranges of from about 10:1 to about 50:1. The Examples below further illustrate the utilization of such ranges of weight ratios.

The gas associated with the foam production is selected from the group consisting of atmospheric air, mixtures of oxygen, nitrogen, and hydrogen, and the like. Atmospheric  
35 air is preferred as an inexpensive and readily available source. The gas is incorporated

at a pressure in the range of 1 pound per square inch (gauge) to 100 pounds per square inch (gauge), with a preferred range of about 25 p.s.i.g. to about 50 p.s.i.g. The acceptable weight ratio of air to latex within the composition is in the range of 0.1:1 to 50:1, with a preferred range of 3:1 to 8:1.

5

The air, or other gas, is incorporated into the foam by mechanical agitation. The air-incorporation process, commonly called foaming, may be accomplished through any accepted procedure. Examples, not intended as limitations, include whipping with a Hobart mixer or a Gaston Systems mechanical foamer. The foamed elastomer  
10 composition can then be applied with screen coating, knife coating, parabolic foam coating, and the like, without any limitation intended.

It has been found that incorporating air into (or foaming) the inventive composition offers several benefits over conventional application methods. First, the amount of elastomer  
15 applied to the textile substrate is less than the amount that would be used in a dip application, thus resulting in cost savings to manufacture. Secondly, because the incorporated air reduces the density of the inventive composition, the substrates that are produced following coagulation have aesthetic properties that more closely resemble leather. In addition, the air incorporated into the foam increases the volume of the  
20 coating, improving application and creating an improved surface for transfer coating. Finally, the manufacturer has greater control over the application of the elastomer. As a result, the foam mixture does not have to be applied to both sides of the fabric, as it would be with a dip application. Further, the degree of penetration of the foam into the textile substrate can also be controlled.

25

Subsequently, the elastomer-coated textile fabric is heated. This heating step generates an acid and gels the cloud-point surfactant, which then uniformly coagulates the inventive latex over the entire substrate. The temperature required to initiate the reaction depends on the particular acid-generating compound utilized. However, in  
30 general, the requisite temperature should be at least 80° C, with a high temperature being about 130° C.

The boiling point of water is the preferred temperature, particularly where a steam application (and most preferably a saturated steam application of 100° C to 110° C) is  
35 used. Such conditions are preferred because moist heat (steam) provides the most

effective exposure for the elastomer composition. The presence of moisture permits a greater level of control over the reaction since the addition of dry heat generally vaporizes the aqueous portion of the inventive latex, which promotes the undesirable formation of a continuous polymer film. The latex must remain moist in order for proper and uniform coagulation to ensue. Therefore, the elastomer composition preferably must contain water during the entire reaction. An exposure time of from about 1 minute to about 10 minutes, in a steam application, may be used. The preferred exposure time is about 2 minutes in a steam application. The utilization of a steam heating step again provides a distinct advantage over the prior art by retaining strictly aqueous solvent reaction conditions.

Alternatively, the coated fabric may also be exposed to rapid heating by a microwave heat source, which does not provide an appreciable loss of moisture to the overall elastomer composition. An exposure time of from about 1 second to about 1 minute in a microwave application may be used.

Yet another alternative is to expose the coated fabric to heating by a convection heat source. Preferably, the temperature should be raised slowly to allow the coating to coagulate prior to dry and prevent the coating from cracking. An exposure time of from about 10 seconds to about 10 minutes in a convection oven may be used.

After the first heating step, the textile-elastomer composite is dried, preferably by high convection, low temperature heating (preferably, but not limited to, less than 130° C) or by microwave heating in order to prevent continuous film formation on the fabric surface. The second heating step is engineered to dry the composite without destroying the coagulation of the elastomer composition.

The woven textile fabric utilized within the inventive process may comprise any natural fiber or blend of such fibers. As merely examples, and not intended as limitations, the textile fabric may be constructed from fibers of cotton, wool, ramie, and the like. In addition, the fabric could also be constructed from fibers of polyester, nylon (-6 or -6,6) spandex, polylactic acid, polyolefins, and blends of any of the above synthetic or natural fibers. The preferred woven substrate is made entirely of cotton. Any weave construction can produce a fabric suitable for use in the present invention, including satin, plain, and twill weaves. A preferred weave construction is a 100% cotton satin



weave, commonly known as a sateen. The preferred number of picks per inch in the filling is in the range of 20 to 80, while the preferred number of ends per inch in the warp is in the range of 30 to 90. The preferred cotton counts (yarn sizes) for the fill yarns are in the range of 4/1 through 32/1 and 4/2 through 32/2. The preferred cotton counts  
5 (yarn sizes) for the warp yarns are in the range of 8/1 through 32/1 and 8/2 through 32/2. Using yarns selected from these ranges will produce a fabric having a weight in the range of 1 to 16 ounces per square yard, with a more preferred weight in the range of 4 to 12 oz/yd<sup>2</sup>, and with a most preferred weight in the range of 6 to 8 oz/yd<sup>2</sup>.

10 The woven textile fabric may be treated with dyes, colorants, pigments, ultraviolet absorbers, softening agents, soil redispersion agents, lubricating agents, antioxidants, flame retardants, rheology agents, and the like, either before foaming or after, but with a preference for such additions before foaming. Within the elastomer composition, there may be incorporated any of the above-listed textile additives, as well as lubricating  
15 agents or cross-linking agents. One particularly desired agent is a softening / soil redispersion / lubricating additive Lubril QCX <sup>TM</sup>, available from Rhône-Poulenc. Desirable pigments include PP14-912 and PP14-905 available from Stahl.

It has been found that sanding or napping the fabric prior to the application of the  
20 elastomeric composition will improve the hand of the fabric-elastomer composite and will improve the adhesion between the fabric and the composition. In addition, the sanding or napping process has been found to impart, in the fabric-elastomer composite, a suede-like feel on the effective back of the composite. It is believed that napping is more preferable for woven fabrics.

25

In addition, in some circumstances, it may be desirable to subject the finished fabric to a calendering process. Calendering improves the adhesion characteristics of the final product (that is, the three-layer fabric-elastomer composite that has also been transfer coated). The calendering process also produces a feel similar to that of suede on the  
30 effective back of the transfer-coated fabric-elastomer composite. Calendering can be achieved on any equipment designed for such purpose, including, but not limited to, a Briem calender having a heated drum width of approximately 20 inches. Because the settings for temperatures, pressures, and speeds are all related to one another, a range of appropriate settings could be used to achieve the desired effect. For example, one

such preferred setting involves a temperature of 150° F, a pressure of 40 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>, and a speed of 2 yards/minute.

- After calendering, the fabric-elastomer composite is subjected to either transfer or film coating to create a three-layer composite structure that resembles genuine leather in both appearance and tactile characteristics. In both transfer and film coating, the additional coating is applied in contact with the elastomer coating. The technical face of the textile becomes the effective back of the three-layer composite. The transfer coating process involves the application of a plurality of individual layers of polyurethane (typically, at least two, but up to five or more) to a paper backing. The coatings are then adhered to the fabric-elastomer composite, and the paper backing is removed, resulting in a three-layer leather-like product in which the third layer refers to a plurality of individual layers that are applied together to the already existing two-layer composite. The film coating process involves adhering a sheet-like film substrate to the fabric-elastomer composite, typically using adhesives and heat to laminate the film to the composite. The term "film" is used to mean any thin, sheet-like substrate, comprising either a metallic substrate, a polymeric or plastic film, or a felt-like or flocked textile substrate.
- The inventive composite may be utilized as upholstery fabric for furniture or in automobiles; within garments or apparel; or for any other purpose in which a textile leather substitute is desired.

#### **Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiments**

- The preferred embodiment of the inventive method and composition is set forth in the following Examples.

##### **Example 1**

- A woven fabric, having 60 ends per inch in the warp and 42 picks per inch in the filling, was created using 18/1 cotton count yarns in the warp and 8/1 cotton count yarns in the filling. The fabric was desized with detergent and hot water in a pad range. The fabric was dyed using sulfur dyestuffs to achieve a desired color. It was then can-dried. In a clip tenter pad, lubricants and softeners were applied. The fabric then went through a series of four-roll nappers, each having 36 pile/counter pile rolls. The back of the fabric was napped first, with the face of the fabric being napped and then sheared at the end

of the pass. The fabric width of 57.5 inches was set using a steam frame. The fabric was then foam-coated with the elastomer composition described herein, with acrylic comprising the latex component. The foam coating was applied to the back of the fabric with a knife-over-slot method, off the pin coater. The speed of application was 25 yards per minute (ypm). Following the application of the elastomeric foam, the fabric passed through a tenter-oven having a series of nine temperature zones, in which the elastomeric foam was allowed to uniformly coagulate over the fabric surface without over-drying the fabric. The temperature of the zones was set as follows: Zones 1 through 5 at 225° F, Zones 6 at 300° F, Zone 7 at 325° F, Zone 8 at 325° F, and Zone 9 at 250° F. The fan speed was set on high for all nine zones. The peel strength of the resulting fabric-elastomer composite was tested using a Sintech 1/S machine in accordance with ASTM Test Method D413 (Book 9.01). The test results showed a peel strength of 4 pounds/inch.

#### 15 **Example 2**

A woven sample was prepared with the same construction as that of Example 1 and was coated in the same manner described in Example 1 (i.e., with an acrylic coating). Prior to coating, the woven fabric was subjected to a calendering process on a Briem calender with a drum having an approximately twenty-inch width. The calendering process was accomplished at a speed of 2 yards per minute, a pressure of 40 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>, and a temperature of 150° F. The peel strength of the resulting calendered fabric-elastomer composite was tested using a Sintech 1/S machine in accordance with ASTM Test Method D413 (Book 9.01). The test results showed a peel strength of 6.5 pounds per inch, nearly twice the peel strength of the non-calendered sample, as described in Example 1.

#### **Example 3**

A fabric-elastomer composite sample was created using the same construction and techniques as in Example 1, with the exception of the latex component of the elastomer composition. In this Example, polyurethane was used instead of acrylic. The peel strength of the resulting fabric-elastomer composite was tested using a Sintech 1/S machine in accordance with ASTM Test Method D413 (Book 9.01). The test results showed a peel strength of 4.0 pounds/inch.

**Example 4**

A woven-elastomer composite sample was prepared with the same construction as that of Example 3 and in the same manner described in Example 3. Prior to foam-coating, the woven fabric was subjected to a calendering process on a Briem calender with a drum having an approximately twenty-inch width. The calendering process was accomplished at a speed of 2 yards per minute, a pressure of 40 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>, and a temperature of 150° F. The peel strength of the resulting calendered fabric-elastomer composite was tested using a Sintech 1/S machine in accordance with ASTM Test Method D413 (Book 9.01). The test results showed a peel strength of 7.5 pounds per inch, nearly twice the peel strength of the non-calendered sample, as described in Example 3.

**The Kawabata Evaluation System**

A specialized, quantitative measure of pliability, compressibility, and softness – the Kawabata Evaluation System – was utilized, and shall be described below.

The Kawabata Evaluation System ("Kawabata System") was developed by Dr. Sueo Kawabata, Professor of Polymer Chemistry at Kyoto University in Japan, as a scientific means to measure, in an objective and reproducible way, the "hand" of textile fabrics. This is achieved by measuring basic mechanical properties that have been correlated with aesthetic properties relating to hand (e.g., slickness, fullness, stiffness, softness, flexibility, and crispness). The mechanical properties that have been associated with these aesthetic properties can be grouped into five basic categories for purposes of Kawabata analysis: bending properties, surface properties (friction and roughness), compression properties, shearing properties, and tensile properties. Each of these categories is comprised of a group of related mechanical properties that can be separately measured.

The Kawabata System uses a set of four highly specialized, custom-developed measuring devices. These devices are as follows:

Kawabata Tensile and Shear Tester (KES FB1)

Kawabata Pure Bending Tester (KES FB2)

Kawabata Compression Tester (KES FB3)

Kawabata Surface Tester (KES FB4)

KES FB 1 through 3 are manufactured by the Kato Iron Works Co., Ltd., Div. of Instrumentation, Kyoto, Japan. KES FB 4 (Kawabata Surface Tester) is manufactured by the Kato Tekko Co., Ltd., Div. of Instrumentation, Kyoto, Japan. The results reported  
5 herein required the use of KES FB1, KES F2 2 and FB 3.

For the testing relating to the characteristics of compressibility, pliability, and drape described herein, only Kawabata System parameters relating to the properties of compression, bending, and shearing stiffness were used.

10

The complete Kawabata Evaluation System is installed and is available for fabric evaluations at several locations throughout the world, including the following institutions in the U.S.A.:

15

North Carolina State University  
College of Textiles  
Dep't. of Textile Engineering Chemistry and Science  
Centennial Campus  
Raleigh, NC 27695

20

Georgia Institute of Technology  
School of Textile and Fiber Engineering  
Atlanta, GA 30332

25

The Philadelphia College of Textiles and Science  
School of Textiles and Materials Science  
Schoolhouse Lane and Henry Avenue  
Philadelphia, PA 19144

30

Additional sites world-wide include The Textile Technology Center (Sainte-Hyacinthe, QC, Canada); The Swedish Institute for Fiber and Polymer Research (Mölnådal, Sweden); and the University of Manchester Institute of Science and Technology (Manchester, England).

35

The Kawabata Evaluation System installed at the Textile Testing Laboratory at the Milliken Research Corporation, Spartanburg, SC was used to generate the numerical values reported herein.

5

#### KAWABATA BENDING TEST PROCEDURE

A 20 cm x 20 cm sample was cut from the web of fabric to be tested. Care was taken to avoid folding, wrinkling, stressing, or otherwise handling the sample in a way that would  
10 deform the sample. The die used to cut the sample was aligned with the yarns in the fabric to improve the accuracy of the measurements. The samples were allowed to reach equilibrium with ambient room conditions prior to testing unless otherwise noted.

The testing equipment was set-up according to the instructions in the Kawabata Manual.  
15 The machine was allowed to warm-up for at least 15 minutes before samples were tested. The amplifier sensitivity was calibrated and zeroed as indicated in the Manual. The sample was mounted in the Kawabata Heavy Duty Pure Bending Tester (KES FB2) so that the cloth showed some resistance but was not too tight. The fabric was tested in both the course and wale directions, and the data was automatically recorded by a data  
20 acquisition program running on a personal computer. The coefficient of bending for each sample was calculated by a personal computer-based program that merely automated the prescribed data processing specified by Kawabata, and the results were recorded with measurements taken when the samples were flexed in opposite directions.

25

#### Example 5 – Prior Art

The Heavy Bending test (KES FB2) was used to measure the force required to bend the fabric-elastomer composite approximately 150 degrees. The fabric-elastomer composite sample was created by using the construction of Example 1, but rather than  
30 foaming the elastomer composition (e.g. acrylic) onto one side of the fabric, the fabric was dipped into the elastomer composition, nipped between nip rolls to effect penetration and pick-up control, and then dried. The dip-coated fabric-elastomer, produced as described herein, required a force of 2.4 grams force cm<sup>2</sup> per centimeter in the fill direction and 1.3 gfc m<sup>2</sup>/cm in the warp direction.

35

**Example 6**

The fabric-elastomer composite of Example 1 (having been subjected to foam coating on one side only) was tested according to the Heavy Bending Test described above. The foam-coated fabric-elastomer composite required a force of only 1.1 gfc<sup>2</sup>/cm in the fill direction and 0.85 gfc<sup>2</sup>/cm in the warp direction. This result indicates that the foam-coated fabric-elastomer of Example 1 is softer and more pliable than the dip-coated fabric-elastomer of Example 5.

**Example 7- Prior Art**

A 200g sample of the fabric-elastomer composite of Example 5 was subjected to the "Standard Measurement" of the Shear Test on the Kawabata machine (KES FB1). The sens control was set at 2 X 5, and the elongation measurement was 25 mm. The shear control was in the "set" position, rather than the "variable" position. The Shear Test gives an indication of the stiffness and resistance a sample has when subjected to opposing parallel forces. The numerical values that are produced in this test, as measured in the warp and fill directions, increase in direct relation to the stiffness of the fabric (high value, high stiffness). The fabric-elastomer composite of Example 5 exhibited a measured stiffness of 10.0 gf cm<sup>2</sup>/cm degree in the fill direction and a measured stiffness of 8.4 in the warp direction.

20

**Example 8**

A 200g sample of the fabric-elastomer composite of Example 1 was subjected to the "Standard Measurement" of the Shear Test on the Kawabata machine (KES FB1). The sens control was set at 2 X 5, and the elongation measurement was 25 mm. The shear control was in the "set" position, rather than the "variable" position. The Shear Test gives an indication of the stiffness and resistance a sample has when subjected to opposing parallel forces. The numerical values that are produced in this test, as measured in the warp and fill directions, increase in direct relation to the stiffness of the fabric (high value, high stiffness). The fabric-elastomer composite of Example 1 exhibited a measured stiffness of 5.7 gfc<sup>2</sup>/cm degree in the fill direction and a measured stiffness of 5.3 in the warp direction. This difference, particularly in the fill direction, indicates a lesser degree of stiffness (i.e., a softer composite).

What is claimed is:

1. A method of making a leather-like fabric-elastomer composite comprising the sequential steps of:

(a) providing a woven textile fabric, having a technical face and a technical back;

- 5 (b) producing a foamed elastomer composition comprising:

(i) a waterborne, anionically-stabilized polymer latex;

(ii) an acid-generating chemical;

(iii) at least one cloud-point surfactant; and

(iv) at least one foam-stabilizing surfactant,

- 10 wherein sufficient gas is introduced within the elastomer composition to produce said foamed elastomer composition;

(c) applying said foamed elastomer composition of (b) to the technical back of said textile fabric of (a);

- 15 (d) heating said foamed fabric to an initial temperature to effectuate a uniform dispersion of said elastomer composition and to cause coagulation of said elastomer composition over said textile fabric;

(e) heating said textile fabric to a second temperature higher than said initial temperature in order to dry, but not destroy, said coagulated elastomer over said textile fabric.

20

2. A textile fabric-elastomer composite comprised of a woven fabric, said fabric having been coated on at least one side with an elastomer composition, said elastomer composition being partially incorporated into said fabric to create a seamless transition between said fabric and said elastomer.

25

3. The fabric-elastomer composite of Claim 2 wherein said fabric is comprised of fibers selected from the group consisting of natural fibers.

- 30 4. The fabric-elastomer composite of Claim 2 wherein said textile fabric is comprised of natural fibers selected from the group consisting of cotton, wool, ramie, or blends of these fibers.

5. The fabric-elastomer composite of Claim 4 wherein said textile fabric comprises cotton fibers.

35



6. The fabric-elastomer composite of Claim 4 wherein said textile fabric has a satin construction.
7. The fabric-elastomer composite of Claim 2 wherein said fabric has a technical face  
5 and a technical back, and said fabric has been napped on the technical face of said fabric prior to being coated with said elastomer composition.
8. The fabric-elastomer composite of Claim 7 wherein said fabric has been calendered  
10 after being napped.
9. The fabric-elastomer composite of Claim 2 wherein said fabric has been calendered prior to being coated with said elastomer composition.
10. The fabric-elastomer composite of Claim 2 wherein said elastomer composition is  
15 applied to the technical back of said fabric.
11. The fabric-elastomer composite of Claim 2 wherein said fabric has a pick count in the range of 20 to 80 picks per inch in the fill direction and an end count in the range of 30 to 90 ends per inch in the warp.  
20
12. The fabric-elastomer composite of Claim 5 wherein said fabric is comprised of filling yarns having a cotton count in the range of 4/1 through 32/1 and 4/2 through 32/2.
13. The fabric-elastomer composite of Claim 5 wherein said fabric is comprised of warp  
25 yarns having a cotton count in the range of 8/1 through 32/1 and 8/2 through 32/2.
14. The fabric-elastomer of Claim 2 wherein said fabric has a weight in the range of one to 16 ounces per square yard.
15. The fabric-elastomer composite of Claim 14 wherein said fabric has weight in the  
30 range of 4 to 12 ounces per square yard.
16. The fabric-elastomer composite of Claim 14 wherein said fabric has a weight in the range of 6 to 8 ounces per square yard.  
35

17. A composite having at least a first material, a second material, and a third material, wherein said first material comprises a woven fabric, wherein said second material comprises an elastomer, said elastomer being at least partially incorporated within said fabric, and wherein said third material is selected from the group consisting of a transfer coating, a film coating, and a plastic or polymeric film, wherein said third material is in contact with said second material and is not in contact with said first material.
18. The composite of Claim 17 wherein said film coating comprises a felted or flocked textile substrate.
19. The composite of Claim 17 wherein said film coating comprises a metallic substrate.
20. The composite of Claim 17 wherein said film coating comprises a polymeric or plastic film.
21. The composite of Claim 17 wherein said transfer coating comprises a plurality of individual layers.

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.  
PCT/US01/19494

<b>A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER</b> IPC(7) : Please See Extra Sheet. US CL : Please See Extra Sheet. According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC														
<b>B. FIELDS SEARCHED</b> Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S. : Please See Extra Sheet. Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched PALM Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) EAST														
<b>C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT</b>														
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.												
Y,P	US 6,103,047 A (OGAWA) 15 August 2000, see entire document. <i>Three-dimensional molded body using urethane elastomer +</i>	1-21 <i>method for manufacturing</i>												
Y	US 5,972,492 A (MURAKAMI et al.) 26 October 1999, see entire document. <i>flexed pad material for low pressure compression molding</i>	1-17 <i>the same</i>												
A	US 4,349,597 A (FINE et al.) 14 September 1982, see entire document. <i>production of synthetic leather</i>	1-17												
A	US 4,434,205 A (FUJII et al.) 28 February 1984, see entire document. <i>artificial leathers</i>	1-17												
A	US 5,302,440 A (DAVIS) 12 April 1994, see entire document. <i>polymer coated contact surface</i>	1-17												
<input type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. <input type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex.														
<table border="0"> <tr> <td>* Special categories of cited documents:</td> <td>"T" Later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</td> <td>"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"E" earlier document published on or after the international filing date</td> <td>"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"I" document which may throw doubt on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</td> <td>"A" document member of the same patent family</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>			* Special categories of cited documents:	"T" Later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention	"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone	"E" earlier document published on or after the international filing date	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art	"I" document which may throw doubt on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"A" document member of the same patent family	"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	
* Special categories of cited documents:	"T" Later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention													
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone													
"E" earlier document published on or after the international filing date	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art													
"I" document which may throw doubt on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"A" document member of the same patent family													
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means														
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed														
Date of the actual completion of the international search 27 JULY 2001		Date of mailing of the international search report 28 AUG 2001												
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3250		Authorized officer MS. ARTI SINGH <i>Arty Singh</i> Telephone No. 703-308-0661												

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.  
PCT/US01/19404

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER:

IPC (7):

B32B 7/12, 27/04, 27/12, 5/08, 5/18, 5/24, 5/02, 17/02;  
D04H 1/08.

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER:

US CL :

442/63,152,172,218,221,226,320;  
428/317.1,904;  
156/78.

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched

Classification System: U.S.

442/63,152,172,218,221,226,320;  
428/317.1,904;  
156/78.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY